



CONOCO: "COping with NO mobility during COrona Virus times: Learning from each other" Project Number: 2020-1-CY01-KA204-066035

Website: www.co-no-co.eu

Title of Presentation: Assessment of the Final Transnational Project Meeting in Nicosia, Cyprus on May 10 2022

> This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This PowerPoint reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained herein.





## Content

- Introduction
- Evaluation procedure and data collection
- Survey's findings
- Conclusion
- Structure of the survey







## Introduction

The meeting aimed at discussing the deliverables, and tasks of the project, considering all the aspects, both technical and administrative. As the project is almost completed, the main discussion revolved around the finalization of the Deliverables, presenting the exploitation and sustainability plan of the project as well as any other task required to close the project.

This evaluation report will provide valuable information about the quality of the final meeting and the level of achieving its objectives. This report is a tool of quality assurance during the implementation of the CONOCO project.

Project Number: 2020-1-CY01-KA204-066035





## Evaluation procedure and data collection

- The evaluation was prepared as an online survey, designed in Google forms. The coordinator (EAEC) has sent to the project consortium the link to the online survey to be answered. The questions od the survey covered four different dimensions that either address the meeting exclusively or the project in general. These dimensions were:
- 1. Content and work in the meeting
- 2. Organisation of the Meeting
- 3. The current status of the project
- 4. Concerns (Open questions Fill in what is relevant for you)

Data analysis included descriptive statistics as well as content analysis procedures derived from both the open-ended questions and close-ended questions based on the partners' responses to the evaluation questionnaires.

The data analysis was based in a sample of 10 responses. All seven (7) organizations were represented by at least one meeting participant.








# Survey's findings

## 1. Content and work in the meeting





The agenda of the meeting was very well balanced focusing on all key aspects of the project 10 responses








#### The meeting reached its objectives


10 responses







The discussions were constructive and focused on concrete solutions 10 responses








#### Everybody had ample opportunities to express themselves











The meeting enabled me to understand better the challenges faced by the other countries 10 responses







I understand much better the tasks ahead and the role of my institution/ organization in the last steps of the project 10 responses








#### The final deliverables were clearly presented


10 responses







The meeting took care of the issues arising from the Coronavirus pandemic and considered appropriate action <sup>10 responses</sup>








# 2. Organisation of the Meeting






## The meeting was very well organized 10 responses








The participants received well on time all information 10 responses







The meeting room and its facilities facilitated the work during the meeting 10 responses








#### The ONLINE LINK was appropriate for the work during the meeting.

10 responses








# 3. The current status of the project






The products/ deliverables already produced/ identified are contributing to the Aims and Objectives <sup>10 responses</sup>








The products/ deliverables already produced/ identified are in the context of the work plan and in agreement with the proposed timetable 10 responses







The obstacles/ risks identified up to this point have been handled adequately 10 responses








#### The management of the budget up to this point is reasonable


9 responses








## The overall management of the project is adequate 10 responses








The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) on the part of my institution are adequate and satisfactory 10 responses








The performed activities for dissemination (since the beginning of the project) by all the partners in the project have been acknowledged adequately 10 responses








The evidence I have concerning the impact of the expected results is satisfactory 10 responses







The Arrangements up to this point concerning the Coronavirus pandemic have been handled effectively 10 responses







# 4. Concerns (Open questions - Fill in what is relevant for you)





Any other comments?:

- The activities were great the only concern is about the rush for the deadlines.
- Everything was perfect
- Thank you for the perfect organization.
- Some activities were pushed in a rush but we could handle all the same.
- For sure, a new initiative in order to propose a second CONOCO project is more than welcome!







# Conclusions

• The results and the data visualization show that the meeting was successful, and the participants were satisfied. The partners understood the next actions to be made for the finalization of the project, including their understanding to translate the final deliverable (D6) and further dissemination activities as well as finish reporting. Overall, the evaluation is indicative of the efforts and work behind the CONOCO project.



**CONOCO Partners** 

Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



**European Association** 



### **Erasmus** Coordinators







Project Number: 2020-1-CY01-KA204-066035